

MATH 158
MIDTERM EXAM 2
9 NOVEMBER 2016

Name : Solutions

- The exam is *double-sided*. Make sure to read both sides of each page.
- The time limit is 50 minutes.
- No calculators are permitted.
- You are permitted one page of notes, front and back.
- The textbook's summary tables for the systems we have studied are provided on the last sheet. You may detach this sheet for easier reference.
- For any problem asking you to write a program, you may write in a language of your choice or in pseudocode, as long as your answer is sufficiently specific to tell the runtime of the program.

This page intentionally left blank.

- (1) Use Shanks's "babystep-giantstep" algorithm to compute $\log_5[13]_{23}$ (that is, find an integer x such that $5^x \equiv 13 \pmod{23}$). Clearly label the two lists that you create and the common element between them. A multiplication table modulo 23 is provided at the back of the exam packet, for convenience.

Let $B=5$, so that $B^2 \approx p-1=22$.

Two lists will be 5^i for $i=0,1,2,3,4$ and $13 \cdot 5^{-5i}$ for $i=0,1,2,3,4$.

Powers of 5:

$$5^0 \equiv 1 \pmod{23}$$

$$5^1 \equiv 5 \pmod{23}$$

$$5^2 \equiv 5 \cdot 5 \equiv 2 \pmod{23}$$

$$5^3 \equiv 2 \cdot 5 \equiv 10 \pmod{23}$$

$$5^4 \equiv 10 \cdot 5 \equiv 4 \pmod{23}$$

$$5^5 \equiv 4 \cdot 5 \equiv 20 \pmod{23}$$

So $5^{-5} \equiv 20^{-1} \equiv \underline{15} \pmod{23}$

Elements $13 \cdot 5^{-5i} \equiv 13 \cdot 15^i \pmod{23}$:

$$13 \cdot 5^{-0} \equiv 13 \pmod{23}$$

$$13 \cdot 5^{-5} \equiv 13 \cdot 15 \equiv 11 \pmod{23}$$

$$13 \cdot 5^{-2 \cdot 5} \equiv 11 \cdot 15 \equiv 4 \pmod{23}$$

$$13 \cdot 5^{-3 \cdot 5} \equiv 4 \cdot 15 \equiv 14 \pmod{23}$$

$$13 \cdot 5^{-4 \cdot 5} \equiv 14 \cdot 15 \equiv 3 \pmod{23}$$

The common element is $4 \equiv 5^4 \equiv 13 \cdot 5^{-10} \pmod{23}$,

so $5^{4+10} \equiv 13 \pmod{23}$

$$\boxed{\log_5[13]_{23} = 14}$$

More space for work on reverse side.

(6 points)

Additional space for problem 1.

- (2) Let $p = 53$, $q = 13$, $g = 10$ be parameters for DSA (these satisfy the conditions in table 4.3). Suppose that Samantha has chosen the private signing key $a = 7$. Using $k = 2$ as the ephemeral key, compute a DSA signature for the document $D = 3$. (Note: you do not need to calculate the public key A in order to solve this problem.)

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= 10^2 \pmod{53} \pmod{13} \\ &= 100 \pmod{53} \pmod{13} \\ &= 47 \pmod{13} \\ &= 8 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &\equiv 2^{-1}(3 + 7 \cdot 8) \pmod{13} \\ &\equiv 7 \cdot (3 + 56) \quad " \\ &\equiv 7 \cdot (7) \quad " \\ &\equiv 49 \quad " \\ &\equiv 10 \pmod{13} \end{aligned}$$

$$(S_1, S_2) = (8, 10)$$

More space for work on reverse side.

(6 points)

Additional space for problem 2.

- (3) Integers p and q are both primes, exactly 42 bits in length. The numbers $p - 1$ and $q - 1$ factor into primes as follows.

$$p - 1 = 2 \cdot 29 \cdot 353 \cdot 433 \cdot 601 \cdot 821$$

$$q - 1 = 2 \cdot 2199023249261$$

You may assume, without proof, that 2 is a primitive root modulo p and modulo q .

- (a) Explain briefly why discrete logarithms modulo p can be computed much more rapidly than discrete logarithms modulo q (be specific about which algorithms are involved; you do not need to describe the algorithms in detail).

The Pohlig-Hellman algorithm reduces mod p DLP's to a sequence of six easier DLP's (one for each prime factor of $p-1$), w/ bases of orders 2, 29, ..., 821. All of these are less than 1000, so ~~can~~ these DLP's are rapidly solved with BSGS. Recombining to obtain the overall solution requires only the Chinese remainder theorem & Euclidean algorithm.

P-H gives almost no traction on discrete logarithms mod q , since the prime factors of $q-1$ include one only slightly smaller than q itself.

Part (b) on reverse side.

(2 points)

- (b) Let $N = pq$. Suppose that Eve attempts to factor N by calling the following function (this is similar to the code provided on Problem Set 7, except that the initial value of a is chosen to be $a = 2$, rather than chosen at random, and it does not bother to check whether or not a is a unit initially).

```
def pollardWith2(N):
    a = 2
    j = 2
    while fractions.gcd(a-1, N) == 1:
        a = pow(a, j, N)
        j += 1
    return fractions.gcd(a-1, N)
```

What will be the return value of this function when called on $N = pq$? How many times will the while loop iterate before returning this value?

After n iterations, the value of a will be

$$a \equiv 2^{(n+1)!} \pmod{N}.$$

Now, $\gcd(a-1, N) \neq 1$ once either $p \mid (a-1)$ or $q \mid (a-1)$.

Since 2 is a prim. root mod p ,

$$\begin{aligned} p \mid (2^{(n+1)!} - 1) &\Leftrightarrow 2^{(n+1)!} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (p-1) \mid (n+1)! \\ &\Leftrightarrow n+1 \geq 821 \end{aligned}$$

(since $821! = 821 \cdot 820 \cdot \dots \cdot 2$ includes all prime factors of $p-1$, while $820!$ does not include 821 as a factor).

Similarly, $q \mid (2^{(n+1)!} - 1) \Leftrightarrow n+1 \geq \frac{q-1}{2} = 2199 \dots 61$.

So after 820 iterations we have $p \mid (a-1)$ & $q \nmid (a-1)$,
 so the function returns p , since $\gcd(a-1, N) = p$.

(4 points)

- (4) (a) Prove that if p is a prime number, and a is an integer such that $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, then either $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ or $a \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

$$a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow a^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow (a+1)(a-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow p \mid (a+1)(a-1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{either } p \mid (a+1) \text{ or } p \mid (a-1) \text{ (since } p \text{ is prime)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{either } a \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \text{ or } a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Part (b) on reverse side.

(3 points)

- (b) Suppose that p is a prime number, $p - 1 = 2^k q$ for q an odd integer, and a is an integer with $1 \leq a \leq p - 1$. Deduce from part (a) that either $a^q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ or one of the numbers $a^q, a^{2q}, a^{4q}, \dots, a^{2^{k-1}q}$ is congruent to -1 modulo p .

By Fermat's little theorem,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv a^{2^k q} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

~~***~~

Case 1: $a^q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. There is nothing to prove in this case.

Case 2 $a^q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then some of the numbers

$$a^q, a^{2q}, \dots, a^{2^k q} \pmod{p}$$

are $1 \pmod{p}$, and others are not.
including the last one including the first one.

Let $a^{2^i q}$ be the final one that isn't $\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Then $i \neq k$, and the next element is $\equiv 1$, i.e.

$$(a^{2^i q})^2 \equiv a^{2^{i+1} q} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

By part (a), either $a^{2^i q} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ or $a^{2^i q} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

By assumption, ~~***~~ $a^{2^i q} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. So $a^{2^i q} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$,

as showing that one of these numbers is indeed

$$\equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

(3 points)

(5) Suppose that p, g are public parameters for Elgamal signatures (you may assume that g is a primitive root modulo p), and that Samantha's public verification key is A . Samantha publishes a valid signature (S_1, S_2) for a document D , and Eve observes that S_1 is exactly equal to g . This might occur if Samantha is not choosing her ephemeral key sufficiently randomly.

(a) Assuming that $\gcd(g, p-1) = 1$, write a function `extract(p, g, A, S1, S2, D)` that extracts Samantha's private signing key a from this information. You may assume that you have already implemented a function `ext_euclid(a, b)`, which returns a list $[u, v, g]$ such that $g = \gcd(a, b)$ and $au + bv = g$. Your code does not need to check that $S_1 = g$, or that $\gcd(g, p-1) = 1$; assume that it will only receive input meeting these conditions. Your code should be efficient enough to finish in a matter of seconds if all the arguments are 1024 bits long or shorter.

Eve knows that

$$A^{S_1} \cdot S_1^{S_2} \equiv g^D \pmod{p}$$

~~$$\text{hence } g^{a \cdot S_1} \cdot g^{S_2} \equiv g^D \pmod{p}$$~~

hence $A^g \cdot g^{S_2} \equiv g^D \pmod{p}$

$$\Rightarrow A^g \equiv g^{D-S_2} \pmod{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow g^{a \cdot g} \equiv g^{D-S_2} \pmod{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow a \cdot g \equiv D - S_2 \pmod{p-1} \quad (\text{since } g \text{ is order } p-1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{a \equiv g^{-1} \cdot (D - S_2) \pmod{p-1}}$$

This isn't too hard to compute.

```
def extract(p, g, A, S1, S2, D):
    ginv = ext_euclid(g, p-1)[0]
    return ginv * (D - S2) % (p-1).
```

Part (b) on reverse side.

(4 points)

- (b) Describe briefly how you would modify your code to work in the more general situation where $\gcd(g, p-1)$ is relatively small, but may not be equal to 1. You do not need to write a second program; just clearly describe the steps that you would take.

We can still solve the congruence $ga \equiv D - S_2 \pmod{p-1}$
to obtain a number a_0 st.

$$a \equiv a_0 \pmod{\frac{p-1}{\gcd(p-1, g)}}.$$

Now we use trial-and-error: for each element a^i of

$$\left\{ a_0 + k \cdot \frac{p-1}{\gcd(p-1, g)} : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \gcd(p-1, g) \right\}$$

check whether $g^{a^i} \equiv A \pmod{p}$ or not, until success.
It's unlikely for $\gcd(p-1, g)$ to be terribly large,
so this will likely produce the key a in very short
order.

(2 points)

Additional space for work.

Additional space for work.

Reference information. You may detach this sheet for easier use.

Multiplication table modulo 23

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
2	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	1	3	5	7	9	11	13	15	17	19	21
3	0	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	1	4	7	10	13	16	19	22	2	5	8	11	14	17	20
4	0	4	8	12	16	20	1	5	9	13	17	21	2	6	10	14	18	22	3	7	11	15	19
5	0	5	10	15	20	2	7	12	17	22	4	9	14	19	1	6	11	16	21	3	8	13	18
6	0	6	12	18	1	7	13	19	2	8	14	20	3	9	15	21	4	10	16	22	5	11	17
7	0	7	14	21	5	12	19	3	10	17	1	8	15	22	6	13	20	4	11	18	2	9	16
8	0	8	16	1	9	17	2	10	18	3	11	19	4	12	20	5	13	21	6	14	22	7	15
9	0	9	18	4	13	22	8	17	3	12	21	7	16	2	11	20	6	15	1	10	19	5	14
10	0	10	20	7	17	4	14	1	11	21	8	18	5	15	2	12	22	9	19	6	16	3	13
11	0	11	22	10	21	9	20	8	19	7	18	6	17	5	16	4	15	3	14	2	13	1	12
12	0	12	1	13	2	14	3	15	4	16	5	17	6	18	7	19	8	20	9	21	10	22	11
13	0	13	3	16	6	19	9	22	12	2	15	5	18	8	21	11	1	14	4	17	7	20	10
14	0	14	5	19	10	1	15	6	20	11	2	16	7	21	12	3	17	8	22	13	4	18	9
15	0	15	7	22	14	6	21	13	5	20	12	4	19	11	3	18	10	2	17	9	1	16	8
16	0	16	9	2	18	11	4	20	13	6	22	15	8	1	17	10	3	19	12	5	21	14	7
17	0	17	11	5	22	16	10	4	21	15	9	3	20	14	8	2	19	13	7	1	18	12	6
18	0	18	13	8	3	21	16	11	6	1	19	14	9	4	22	17	12	7	2	20	15	10	5
19	0	19	15	11	7	3	22	18	14	10	6	2	21	17	13	9	5	1	20	16	12	8	4
20	0	20	17	14	11	8	5	2	22	19	16	13	10	7	4	1	21	18	15	12	9	6	3
21	0	21	19	17	15	13	11	9	7	5	3	1	22	20	18	16	14	12	10	8	6	4	2
22	0	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1

Public parameter creation	
A trusted party chooses and publishes a (large) prime p and an integer g having large prime order in \mathbb{F}_p^* .	
Private computations	
Alice	Bob
Choose a secret integer a . Compute $A \equiv g^a \pmod{p}$.	Choose a secret integer b . Compute $B \equiv g^b \pmod{p}$.
Public exchange of values	
Alice sends A to Bob $\xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}}$ A $B \xleftarrow{\hspace{2cm}}$ Bob sends B to Alice	
Further private computations	
Alice	Bob
Compute the number $B^a \pmod{p}$. The shared secret value is $B^a \equiv (g^b)^a \equiv g^{ab} \equiv (g^a)^b \equiv A^b \pmod{p}$.	Compute the number $A^b \pmod{p}$.

Table 2.2: Diffie-Hellman key exchange

Public parameter creation	
A trusted party chooses and publishes a large prime p and an element g modulo p of large (prime) order.	
Alice	Bob
Key creation	
Choose private key $1 \leq a \leq p - 1$. Compute $A = g^a \pmod{p}$. Publish the public key A .	
Encryption	
	Choose plaintext m . Choose random element k . Use Alice's public key A to compute $c_1 = g^k \pmod{p}$ and $c_2 = mA^k \pmod{p}$. Send ciphertext (c_1, c_2) to Alice.
Decryption	
Compute $(c_1^a)^{-1} \cdot c_2 \pmod{p}$. This quantity is equal to m .	

Table 2.3: Elgamal key creation, encryption, and decryption

Bob	Alice
Key creation	
Choose secret primes p and q . Choose encryption exponent e with $\gcd(e, (p-1)(q-1)) = 1$. Publish $N = pq$ and e .	
Encryption	
	Choose plaintext m . Use Bob's public key (N, e) to compute $c \equiv m^e \pmod{N}$. Send ciphertext c to Bob.
Decryption	
Compute d satisfying $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$. Compute $m' \equiv c^d \pmod{N}$. Then m' equals the plaintext m .	

Table 3.1: RSA key creation, encryption, and decryption

Samantha	Victor
Key creation	
Choose secret primes p and q . Choose verification exponent e with $\gcd(e, (p-1)(q-1)) = 1$. Publish $N = pq$ and e .	
Signing	
Compute d satisfying $de \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$. Sign document D by computing $S \equiv D^d \pmod{N}$.	
Verification	
	Compute $S^e \pmod{N}$ and verify that it is equal to D .

Table 4.1: RSA digital signatures

Public parameter creation	
A trusted party chooses and publishes a large prime p and primitive root g modulo p .	
Samantha	Victor
Key creation	
Choose secret signing key $1 \leq a \leq p - 1$. Compute $A = g^a \pmod{p}$. Publish the verification key A .	
Signing	
Choose document $D \pmod{p}$. Choose random element $1 < k < p$ satisfying $\gcd(k, p-1) = 1$. Compute signature $S_1 \equiv g^k \pmod{p}$ and $S_2 \equiv (D - aS_1)k^{-1} \pmod{p-1}$.	
Verification	
	Compute $A^{S_1} S_1^{S_2} \pmod{p}$. Verify that it is equal to $g^D \pmod{p}$.

Table 4.2: The Elgamal digital signature algorithm

Public parameter creation	
A trusted party chooses and publishes large primes p and q satisfying $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and an element g of order q modulo p .	
Samantha	Victor
Key creation	
Choose secret signing key $1 \leq a \leq q - 1$. Compute $A = g^a \pmod{p}$. Publish the verification key A .	
Signing	
Choose document $D \pmod{q}$. Choose random element $1 < k < q$. Compute signature $S_1 \equiv (g^k \pmod{p}) \pmod{q}$ and $S_2 \equiv (D + aS_1)k^{-1} \pmod{q}$.	
Verification	
	Compute $V_1 \equiv DS_2^{-1} \pmod{q}$ and $V_2 \equiv S_1 S_2^{-1} \pmod{q}$. Verify that $(g^{V_1} A^{V_2} \pmod{p}) \pmod{q} = S_1$.

Table 4.3: The digital signature algorithm (DSA)